Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/672,644	KOYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry Vartanian	2634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Harry Vartanian</u> .	(3)	
(2) Eric A. Stephenson	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 October 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.	•	•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
1, 6, 12, 19, and 33		÷ :
Claims discussed:		
1, 6, 12, 19, and 33		!
Prior art documents discussed:		:
Part II.		:
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Response to after final argument; canceling unallowable claims		S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		: :
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the	ten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview
•		•
	-	:
		* :
		*
		· -
	<del></del>	: 
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)